An Introduction to Cybersecurity Information Sharing

MISP - Threat Sharing

CIRCL / Team MISP Project

MISP Project
https://www.misp-project.org/

NSPA

MISP
Threat Sharing

- Agenda and details available `https://hdoc.csirt-tooling.org/3Q6r8PZES9OsRO5NtcoHiQ#`

- During a malware analysis workgroup in 2012, we discovered that we worked on the analysis of the same malware.
- We wanted to share information in an easy and automated way **to avoid duplication of work**.
- Christophe Vandeplas (then working at the CERT for the Belgian MoD) showed us his work on a platform that later became MISP.
- A first version of the MISP Platform was used by the MALWG and **the increasing feedback of users** helped us to build an improved platform.
- MISP is now **a community-driven development**.

The Computer Incident Response Center Luxembourg (CIRCL) is a government-driven initiative designed to provide a systematic response facility to computer security threats and incidents. CIRCL is the CERT for the private sector, communes and non-governmental entities in Luxembourg and is operated by securitymadein.lu g.i.e.

- CIRCL is mandated by the Ministry of Economy and acting as the Luxembourg National CERT for private sector.
- CIRCL leads the development of the Open Source MISP threat intelligence platform which is used by many military or intelligence communities, private companies, financial sector, National CERTs and LEAs globally.
- **CIRCL runs multiple large MISP communities performing active daily threat-intelligence sharing**.

Co-financed by the European Union

Connecting Europe Facility

- MISP is a **threat information sharing** platform that is free & open source software
- A tool that **collects** information from partners, your analysts, your tools, feeds
- Normalises, **correlates**, **enriches** the data
- Allows teams and communities to **collaborate**
- **Feeds** automated protective tools and analyst tools with the output

- There are many different types of users of an information sharing platform like MISP:
  - ▶ **Malware reversers** willing to share indicators of analysis with respective colleagues.
  - ▶ **Security analysts** searching, validating and using indicators in operational security.
  - ▶ **Intelligence analysts** gathering information about specific adversary groups.
  - ▶ **Law-enforcement** relying on indicators to support or bootstrap their DFIR cases.
  - ▶ **Risk analysis teams** willing to know about the new threats, likelyhood and occurences.
  - ▶ **Fraud analysts** willing to share financial indicators to detect financial frauds.

- Sharing indicators for a **detection** matter.
  - ▶ 'Do I have infected systems in my infrastructure or the ones I operate?'
- Sharing indicators to **block**.
  - ▶ 'I use these attributes to block, sinkhole or divert traffic.'
- Sharing indicators to **perform intelligence**.
  - ▶ 'Gathering information about campaigns and attacks. Are they related? Who is targeting me? Who are the adversaries?'
- → These objectives can be conflicting (e.g. False-positives have different impacts)

Communities using MISP

- Communities are groups of users sharing within a set of common objectives/values.
- CIRCL operates multiple MISP instances with a significant user base (more than 1200 organizations with more than 4000 users).
- **Trusted groups** running MISP communities in island mode (air gapped system) or partially connected mode.
- **Financial sector** (banks, ISACs, payment processing organizations) use MISP as a sharing mechanism.
- **Military and international organizations** (NATO, military CSIRTs, n/g CERTs,...).
- **Security vendors** running their own communities (e.g. Fidelis) or interfacing with MISP communities (e.g. OTX).
- **Topical communities** set up to tackle individual specific issues (COVID-19 MISP)

Sharing Difficulties

- Sharing difficulties are not really technical issues but often it's a matter of **social interactions** (e.g. **trust**).
- Legal restriction[1]
  - ▶ "Our legal framework doesn't allow us to share information."
  - ▶ "Risk of information-leak is too high and it's too risky for our organization or partners."
- Practical restriction
  - ▶ "We don't have information to share."
  - ▶ "We don't have time to process or contribute indicators."
  - ▶ "Our model of classification doesn't fit your model."
  - ▶ "Tools for sharing information are tied to a specific format, we use a different one."

---

[1]https://www.misp-project.org/compliance/

MISP PROJECT OVERVIEW

Sharing in MISP

- Sharing via distribution lists - **Sharing groups**
- **Delegation** for pseudo-anonymised information sharing
- **Proposals** and **Extended events** for collaborated information sharing
- Synchronisation, Feed system, air-gapped sharing
- User defined **filtered sharing** for all the above mentioned methods
- Cross-instance information **caching** for quick lookups of large data-sets
- Support for multi-MISP internal enclaves

- Correlating data
- Feedback loop from detections via **Sightings**
- **False positive management** via the warninglist system
- **Enrichment system** via MISP-modules
- **Integrations** with a plethora of tools and formats
- Flexible **API** and support **libraries** such as PyMISP to ease integration
- **Timelines** and giving information a temporal context
- Full chain for **indicator life-cycle management**

Conclusion

- **Information sharing practices come from usage** and by example (e.g. learning by imitation from the shared information).
- MISP is just a tool. What matters is your sharing practices. The tool should be as transparent as possible to support you.
- Enable users to customize MISP to meet their community's use-cases.
- MISP project combines open source software, open standards, best practices and communities to make information sharing a reality.